


Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 31 December 2021 (exposure period: 1 April 2021 to 30 September 2021)


LGA: Ashburton (S) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Participant profile


Please note that the data presented are based on only six months of data and not a full year.



Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



LGA: Ashburton (S) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes indicator on choice and control

by age group

by primary disability

by level of function

by remoteness rating

by Indigenous status

Indigenous status	Ashburton (S)	Western Australia
Indigenous	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Non-Indigenous	56%	53%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Proportion of participants who reported that they choose who supports them

Ashburton (S) 56%

Western Australia* 53%

Relative to state average 1.05x

by CALD status

CALD status	Ashburton (S)	Western Australia
CALD	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Non-CALD	53%	53%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.

* This is the weighted state average

Has the NDIS helped you have more choices and more control over your life?

by age group

by primary disability

by level of function

by remoteness rating

by Indigenous status

Indigenous status	Ashburton (S)	Western Australia
Indigenous	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Non-Indigenous	69%	74%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Proportion of participants who reported that the NDIS has helped with choice and control

Ashburton (S) 69%

Western Australia* 74%

Relative to state average 0.93x

by CALD status

CALD status	Ashburton (S)	Western Australia
CALD	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Non-CALD	74%	74%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.

* This is the weighted state average

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Average plan budget (\$)	Payments (\$m)	Average payments (\$)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 31	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 3	10.3	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.1	1,634	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.0	618	38%	36%	10 or fewer participants
Daily Activities	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 11	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 4	2.8	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.3	24,329	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.2	20,003	82%	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Community	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 16	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 3	5.3	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.2	15,320	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.2	10,107	66%	46%	10 or fewer participants
Transport	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Core total	38	6	6.3	0.6	15,166	0.4	10,834	71%	53%	75%
Capacity Building										
Choice and Control	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	54%	10 or fewer participants
Daily Activities	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 43	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 13	3.3	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.4	9,233	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.2	4,104	44%	53%	67%
Employment	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Relationships	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Social and Civic	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Support Coordination	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 41	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 11	3.7	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.1	2,916	<div><div></div><div></div></div> 0.1	1,622	56%	56%	64%
Capacity Building total	44	18	2.4	0.7	14,504	0.3	6,358	43%	56%	69%
Capital										
Assistive Technology	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Home Modifications	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Capital total	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
All support categories	44	20	2.2	1.3	30,435	0.7	16,071	53%	56%	69%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.

Note: The Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan.

In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period.
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.